The Benefit System and its scope in contemporary orthodontic protocols
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Abstract
Currently, the alveolar process is the most preferred insertion site for orthodontic mini-implants. However, due to the varying bone quality and the risk of root contact, the survival rate of implants inserted in the alveolar ridge still needs improvement. Other regions, such as the anterior palate and the mental region provide much better conditions for temporary anchorage device (TAD) insertion since the amount and quality of the available bone are far superior. Mini-implants with different types of abutments and connectors allow the construction of versatile and cost efficient appliances for a large variety of orthopedic and orthodontic applications. Utilizing TAD's in the anterior palate and the mental region eliminates the risk of root injury and takes the implants out of the path of tooth movement. The design of the interchangeable abutment system provides the orthodontist with a skeletal anchorage system that integrates easily into clinical practice and allows treatment of cases that were difficult or impossible to treat previously.
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INTRODUCTION
Mini-implants have become a common treatment modality in orthodontics due to their versatility, minimal invasiveness, and cost effectiveness. Still, today, the alveolar process is the most preferred insertion site.[1-5] However, due to varying bone and soft tissue conditions, orthodontists are still confronted with an average loss rate of 16.1%, as reported in recent literature.[6-9]

To enhance success rates five strategies were developed: 1. Selection of the optimum insertion site. 2. Avoidance of root contact. 3. Getting out of the path of tooth movement. 4. Use of tandem implants and. 5. Use of implants with sufficient length and diameter.

Applying these strategies and choosing the anterior palate as insertion site loss rates could be decreased to values as low as 2.1%.[10]

Selection of the anterior palate in the upper jaw and miniplates in the lower jaw rendered the insertion of mini-implants in the alveolar ridges obsolete. Based on clinical examples and scientific evaluation, new...
solutions for a variety of treatment tasks such as molar distalization and mesialization, molar intrusion and extrusion, asymmetric space closure, midline correction, and anchorage of anterior and lateral dental segments are now available.

A new generation of mini-implants with interchangeable abutments (Benefit System, PSM, Germany\(^\text{[11]}\)) was developed that allow integration into the orthodontic mechanics [Figure 1]. For very high demands on the anchorage quality, two mini-implants were used. To couple two mini-implants very easily, a Beneplate\(^\text{[12]}\) (PSM, Germany, [Figure 1h]) is available in two different lengths. For connection to the orthodontic appliance, Beneplates with a stainless steel wire (1.1 mm or 0.8 mm) or a stainless steel bracket are employed. The Beneplate can be adapted to the Benefit mini-implants by bending of the miniplate body as well as the wire [Figure 2].

**IMPLANT PLACEMENT AND ADAPTATION OF THE MECHANICS**

Due to a very good bone quality and quantity, the anterior palate is the favorite insertion site.\(^\text{[13]}\) If the patient is apprehensive about the use of a needle syringe, the miniscrews can be placed using only topical anesthetic (jelly). In adult patients, a pilot drilling (2-3 mm depth) should be performed due to very high bone densities [Figure 3]. In children and adolescents with relatively low bone mineralization, pilot drilling is not needed. Mini-implants with a diameter of 2 mm or 2.3 mm and lengths of 9 mm (anterior) and 7 mm (posterior) are inserted, which provides the best stability [Figures 4-6].\(^\text{[14-17]}\)

In many cases, the appliance could be adapted intra- orally, which, of course, implies some chair time [Figure 7a and b]. The alternative is to adapt the mechanics in the laboratory by taking a silicon impression and transferring the intra-oral setup to a plaster cast using the impression cap and the laboratory analogue from the Benefit System\(^\text{[11]}\) [Figure 1b and c].
CLINICAL APPLICATIONS

Maxillary molar distalization (Beneslider and pendulum B)
The treatment objective of upper molar distalization may be required frequently during correction of malocclusions. The most common indication is a dentoalveolar Class II malocclusion with increased overjet and/or anterior crowding. Another less frequent indication may be to correct dentoalveolar compensation in Class III patients that are undergoing surgery. Due to esthetic drawbacks and the length of time to be worn, molar distalization with a headgear is unpleasant for many patients. This has resulted in a tendency to favor purely intra-oral distalization appliances with minimal need for patient cooperation. Unfortunately, most of the conventional devices for noncompliance maxillary molar distalization show some unwanted side effects, such as anchorage loss, especially, when distalization forces are applied buccally. One possibility to reduce unwanted effects of reciprocal orthodontic forces is the use of palatal acrylic pads (Nance buttons). However, the anchorage stability of this soft-tissue borne element is not always certain. Moreover, oral hygiene is impaired due to the partial coverage of the palatal area. If the anchorage unit includes teeth, mesial migration and/or protrusion of the anterior dentition have to be considered as major drawbacks. The amount of the anchorage loss of conventional intra-oral devices ranges between 24% and 55%. Although indirect anchorage can be used to support the premolars during maxillary molar distalization, miniscrew tipping and wire deformation may result in anchorage loss and mesial premolar migration. Moreover, after molar distalization, the appliance must be refabricated for distalization of the premolars and anterior teeth. Therefore, direct anchorage is preferable.

To benefit from the advantages of direct anchorage mechanics and of the anterior palate as the most suitable mini-implant insertion site, the Beneslider device has been designed fixed on top of mini-implants with exchangeable abutments. The Beneslider utilizes sliding mechanics and has proved to be a reliable distalization device. However, if frictionless mechanics is preferred and/or the molars are to be uprighted or derotated simultaneously during distalization, pendulum mechanics can be employed. Several authors introduced skeletally-supported pendulum mechanics to avoid anchorage loss. However, all described appliances require additional laboratory work. The pendulum B was designed to have the ability to adapt a skeletal borne pendulum device chair side immediately after mini-implant insertion without a laboratory procedure.

Figure 6: Angulation of the insertion is perpendicular to the bone. The soft tissue anterior is too thick

Figure 5: Insertion of two mini-implants posterior from the rugae, the distance between the mini-implants should be 8-14 mm

Figure 7: (a) Intra-oral adaption of a Benetube for a Beneslider. (b) Intra-oral adaption of the Beneplate for the Mesialslider

Figure 8: Clinical example: Beneslider for upper molar distalization
Maxillary space closure (Mesialslider)

Unilateral or bilateral missing upper teeth are diagnosed quite frequently: Congenitally missing lateral incisors/second bicuspids, extremely displaced canines or a severe trauma of a central incisor are potential complaints that result in a reduced upper dentition. The two major treatment approaches are space closure or space opening to allow prosthetic replacements either with a fixed prosthesis or single-tooth implant. Both of these treatment approaches may potentially compromise aesthetics, periodontal health, and function. In many cases, space closure to the mesial seems to be the favorable treatment goal, since treatment already can be completed as soon as the dentition is complete. Canine substitutions can be accomplished with good aesthetic outcomes by tooth reshaping and positioning, bleaching, and porcelain veneers.

The more mesial the missing tooth is, the higher will be the demands for anchorage quality, especially in asymmetric cases with a midline deviation. If the central incisors are in the correct position (midline, torque and angulation are correct), a T-Bow can be bonded to the lingual surfaces of the central incisors to apply an indirect anchorage with the goal to avoid lingual tipping of the central incisors during space closure. As an alternative to the T-Bow (indirect anchorage), the Mesialslider as a direct anchorage device can be used. The Mesialslider enables clinicians to mesialize upper molars unilaterally or bilaterally. Since the incisors are not fixed, a midline deviation can be corrected at the same time. The Mesialslider can be used to close space in the upper arch from distal, e.g., for missing lateral incisors, canines, premolars or molars. The Mesialslider can also be used for protrusion of the whole upper dentition to compensate a mild Class III occlusion.

Asymmetric molar distalization and space closure (Mesial-Distalslider)

In many cases with unilaterally missing teeth, the midline is off. The favored appliance to correct the midline, to close the space on one side and to distalize the contralateral segment is a combination of the Mesialslider and a Beneslider, the Mesial-Distalslider [Figure 13].

Rapid palatal expansion and early Class III treatment

Rapid palatal expansion (RPE) is considered to be the first orthodontic procedure to achieve skeletal widening.
of the maxilla. Today, RPE is considered to be a method for sutural distraction osteogenesis. For the treatment of patients with a Class III caused by a retrognathic maxilla, RPE is combined with a facemask for the protraction of the maxilla. Since the orthopedic forces are transmitted to the skeletal structures via the anchor teeth, distribution of the forces to as many teeth as possible, as well as completion of root growth, are considered essential. However, besides the therapeutically intended skeletal expansion, side-effects such as buccal tipping of the anchor teeth, fenestration of the buccal bone, root resorptions, and gingiva recessions were reported in some cases. To avoid these complications caused by the tooth-borne character of the conventional appliances, some authors reported about pure bone-borne RPE devices. Several palatal distractors have been presented over the last decade. However, insertion and removal of these miniplate-borne distractors are invasive surgical procedures with the need of a flap preparation, risk of root lesions and infections. As a consequence distractors of this type could not establish themselves as standard devices for RPE. To minimize the surgical procedure, Harzer introduced the Dresden-Distractor that is borne solely on an implant and a mini-implant. Due to the risk of a root lesion at the insertion of implants in the lateral posterior alveolar process and lack of available bone in the median posterior palate, we used the 1st molars or 2nd deciduous as posterior anchorage unit. In the anterior median palate, there is more bone available bone for mini-implants and the resulting appliance is a half tooth-borne half bone-borne RPE device called hybrid hyrax. The application of the hybrid hyrax is minimally surgical invasive compared with pure bone-borne RPE devices like distractors. To employ the first molars or second deciduous molars as posterior anchorage unit and mini-

implants as skeletal anterior anchorage unit provides several advantages:

- Applicable in cases with low anterior dental anchorage quality due to missing deciduous molars or deciduous molar with short roots.
- Applicable in cases with immature root development of the premolars.
- No risk of impairment of root development (curved roots).
- Reduction of the dental side effects, that is, premolar tipping.
- Anterior dentition is not bonded during the retention phase, and thus regular orthodontic treatment could be started earlier.
- Advantageous in cases with need for early Class III treatment, where the RPE supports maxillary advancement by weakening the midface sutures.
- Avoidance of mesial migration of the upper dentition during application of a facemask or the Mentoplate, thus enhancing the skeletal effects.

Skeletal Class III malocclusions are relatively infrequent, and their genesis is usually associated with genetic factors. The Class III relationship may be caused by a retrognathic maxilla, a prognathic mandible or both. Treatment of young Class III patients with maxillary deficiency is mostly conducted with a facemask. Since the force is applied to the teeth, mesial migration of the dentition is inevitable and may result in severe anterior crowding. On the other hand, the desired skeletal effect of this commonly used approach often turns out to be less than expected. To overcome these drawbacks and to minimize mesial migration of the molars, sagittal skeletal support by the hybrid hyrax is very useful. Secondly, to facilitate the advancement of the maxilla, opening of the midface sutures by RPE is recommended. With the goal to avoid an extra-oral device (facemask) and to apply the forces directly to the skeletal structures, De Clerck introduced the use of four miniplates (two anterior in the lower jaw and two posterior in the upper jaw) in combination with Class III elastics. This represents a new purely skeletal

![Figure 13: Clinical example with missing upper right canine and midline shift: Mesial-Distalslider for unilateral upper mesialization and contralateral distalization](http://www.apospublications.com)

![Figure 14: Hybrid hyrax. Anterior anchorage is provided by two 2 mm x 9 mm Benefit mini-implants, placed about 5 mm apart. Before and after rapid maxillary expansion and Class III treatment using a facemask)](http://www.apospublications.com)
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In the mandible, miniplates such as Bollard plates or Beneplate expand skeletal anchorage options allowing simultaneous rapid maxillary expansion and skeletally borne maxillary protraction. In the lower jaw, the Bollard miniplates by De Clerck are usually inserted after the eruption of the canines. To allow earlier insertion of the miniplates in the mandible, we developed the Mentoplate [Figure 15].

Since the Mentoplate is inserted subapically to the lower incisors, it typically can be used already at the age of 8-9 years. By means of the hybrid hyrax in combination with a facemask or a Mentoplate forces are applied to skeletal structures only with the goal to achieve an optimum skeletal effect [Figure 15].

CONCLUSION

To summarize, the Benefit mini-implant in combination with the Beneplate expands skeletal anchorage options in orthodontic treatment and reduces the failure rate significantly. Insertion and removal are minimally invasive procedures: Orthodontists can place the screws by themselves and load them immediately. Usually, the screws can be removed without anesthesia. The anterior palate is our preferred insertion region because of its superior bone quality and relatively low rates of miniscrew instability and failure. The attached mucosa has a better prognosis than other areas, and there is no risk of tooth damage. In the mandible, miniplates such as Bollard plates or the Mentoplate are recommendable for orthopedic and orthodontic purposes.
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